

---

**Re: Urgent: Rural Property Rights and Bylaw 4710 Concerns**

---

**From** Carol Blatchford [REDACTED]

**Date** Mon 3/30/2026 11:54 AM

**To** Kate Segall <kate.segall@cverd.bc.ca>; Sierra Acton <sierra.acton@cverd.bc.ca>; Mike Wilson <mike.wilson@cverd.bc.ca>; Hilary Abbott <hilary.abbott@cverd.bc.ca>; Alison Nicholson <alison.nicholson@cverd.bc.ca>; Jesse McClinton <jesse.mcclinton@cverd.bc.ca>; Ben Maartman <ben.maartman@cverd.bc.ca>; mstaples@duncan.ca <mstaples@duncan.ca>; Deena Beeston <dbeeston@ladysmith.ca>; Bruce Findlay <bruce.findlay@northcowichan.ca>; Christopher Justice <christopher.justice@northcowichan.ca>; Mike Caljouw <mike.caljouw@northcowichan.ca>; Development Services <ds@cverd.bc.ca>; legislativeservices <legislativeservices@cverd.bc.ca>

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

We are writing to register our formal opposition to the proposed Comprehensive Zoning Bylaw No. 4710. This 329-page document is an unacceptable overreach that ignores the basic realities of rural life, specifically regarding livestock restrictions and fence height limits that invite wildlife damage. Please record our objection to these predatory fines and administrative policies before any further steps are taken to finalize this bylaw.

You are an area representative for the CVRD and we are very confused and angered that you have made the decision to support the CVRD staff and not your constituents! We assumed that when you were voted in you would make decisions that would be for the betterment of your area. You have proven by your actions we were SO WRONG? As a local government you are extremely over reaching, please start looking after the things you were voted in to do!

To highlight a few of our concerns but not limited to:

1. THE ROOSTER BAN (Section 4.1.3 & 11.2)

- The Quote: "The keeping of roosters is prohibited on all lots less than 2.0 hectares (5 acres)."
- The Overreach: This effectively kills heritage farming and natural flock sustainability for thousands of rural properties in the Valley that are 2, 3, or 4 acres.

2. THE "ELK BUFFET" FENCE RULE (Section 5.8.2)

- The Quote: "Fences in a front yard shall not exceed 1.2 metres (4 feet) in height."
- The Overreach: This is a direct invitation for 800lb Roosevelt Elk to jump into your garden. Calling a 4-foot fence a "barrier" in this area is a slap in the face to anyone trying to grow their own food.

### 3. THE BEDROOM LIMITS (Section 6.2.1)

- The Quote: "A secondary suite shall contain no more than two bedrooms."
- The Overreach: They are literally counting the beds in your own home. In a housing crisis, the CVRD is making it illegal for a family with three kids to live in a secondary suite on your property.

### 4. THE \$50,000 "DE FACTO EXPROPRIATION" (Section 1.9.1)

- The Quote: "Every person who violates... is liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding \$50,000."
- The Overreach: Combined with the "Daily Offence" clause (Section 1.9.2), which states every single day the "infraction" exists counts as a new fine, they can bankrupt a local landowner in a single week.

### 5. THE "EVERYTHING IS FORBIDDEN" RULE (Section 3.1.2)

- The Quote: "Uses not specifically permitted in a zone are prohibited."
- The Overreach: This is the ultimate "Permission-Based" system. If the bureaucrats didn't think of it and write it down in this book, you aren't allowed to do it on your own soil.

### 6. THE "RIGHT OF ENTRY" (Section 1.8.1)

- The Quote: "Bylaw Enforcement Officers are hereby authorized to enter, at all reasonable times, upon any property... to ascertain whether the regulations are being observed."
- The Overreach: They want the power to walk onto your private land to "inspect" your chickens and fences without a warrant or your presence.

Respectfully Submitted

Kerry and Carol Blatchford

██████████ Rd