

---

**FW: Request to Pause Implementation of Proposed Zoning Bylaw No. 4710**

---

**From** Ben Maartman <ben.maartman@cvrld.bc.ca>  
**Date** Mon 3/30/2026 3:07 PM  
**To** legislativeservices <legislativeservices@cvrld.bc.ca>

---

**From:** Pamela C [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 30, 2026 1:21 PM  
**To:** Hilary Abbott <hilary.abbott@cvrld.bc.ca>; Ben Maartman <ben.maartman@cvrld.bc.ca>; Ian Morrison <ian.morrison@cvrld.bc.ca>; Sierra Acton <sierra.acton@cvrld.bc.ca>; Alison Nicholson <alison.nicholson@cvrld.bc.ca>; Jesse McClinton <jesse.mcclinton@cvrld.bc.ca>; Mike Wilson <mike.wilson@cvrld.bc.ca>; Karen Deck <karen.deck@cvrld.bc.ca>; Kate Segall <kate.segall@cvrld.bc.ca>  
**Subject:** Request to Pause Implementation of Proposed Zoning Bylaw No. 4710

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)  
Dear Area Directors,

I am writing to formally request that you pause the implementation of the proposed Comprehensive Zoning Bylaw No. 4710, particularly as it applies to RR-3 properties in Electoral Area A.

After carefully reviewing both the current Bylaw No. 3520 and the proposed bylaw, it is clear that these changes go well beyond administrative updates. The removal of horticulture as a principal use, the narrowing of permitted rural and agricultural activities, and the increased setbacks adjacent to ALR lands represent a meaningful shift in how RR-3 properties can be used. These are not minor adjustments—they materially change the character and function of rural residential zoning and limit long-standing, reasonable uses of these properties.

For many property owners, these changes will directly impact how their land can be used, their ability to engage in small-scale rural or agricultural activities, and ultimately the value and viability of their properties. This represents a clear move away from supporting a flexible rural lifestyle toward a more restrictive residential model.

Given the scale and impact of these changes, moving forward without broader awareness and engagement from the community is concerning. Many residents are likely not fully informed of the implications, and the current process does not appear to reflect the level of consultation warranted for changes of this magnitude.

I am therefore asking that you:

- Pause advancement and adoption of Bylaw No. 4710;
- Provide sufficient time and accessible opportunities for meaningful public consultation and input; and
- Defer final decisions until after the upcoming election, allowing incoming Directors the opportunity to fully understand and represent the concerns of their communities.

Decisions that significantly alter land use rights and rural character should be made with clear public understanding and confidence that community voices have been properly heard.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Pamela Campbell and Matthew Pastuck

██████████ Braithwaite drive, Cobble Hill B.C.